

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 17 (2006) 252–258

Tetrahedron: **Asymmetry**

Stereoselective synthesis of 2,4-methanoproline homologues

Oleksandr O. Grygorenko,^a Oleksiy S. Artamonov,^a Gennady V. Palamarchuk,^b Roman I. Zubatyuk, \overline{b} Oleg V. Shishkin^b and Igor V. Komarov^{a,c,*}

^a Department of Chemistry, Kyiv Taras Shevchenko University, Volodymyrska Street, 64, Kyiv 01033, Ukraine
^b STC i Institute for Single Crystals' National Academy of Sejance of Ukraine, 60 Laning ang. Kharkiy 61001, Ukr ^bSTC 'Institute for Single Crystals' National Academy of Science of Ukraine, 60 Lenina ave., Kharkiv 61001, Ukraine Enamine Ltd, Alexandra Matrosova Street, 23, Kyiv 01103, Ukraine

Received 14 November 2005; accepted 13 December 2005

Abstract—The stereoselective synthesis of 2-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-1-carboxylic acid and 6-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-5-carboxylic acid, novel rigid bicyclic proline analogues, is reported. The synthesis was performed in five steps from the corresponding 2-cycloalken-1-ones. A known approach to 2,4-methanoproline is improved. The three amino acids constitute a library of conformationally constrained proline analogues, which can be used for the design of peptidomimetics and peptide models. 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Conformationally restricted molecules have become increasingly popular in those areas of chemistry where efficient intermolecular interaction is important. In medicinal chemistry, conformationally restricted drug molecules often exhibit more efficient interactions with the corresponding biological targets than the flexible analogues.^{[1,2](#page-6-0)} For example, many peptidomimetics reported to date contain rigid fragments; this is usually achieved by incorporation of conformationally restricted amino acid residues.[3](#page-6-0)

Libraries of conformationally constrained or rigid amino acids, designed to vary torsion angles in peptidomimetics in a systematic manner, are of particular interest. Such libraries can be composed of either isomers or homologues of amino acids. While the fixed torsion angles in the common rigid fragments are different within the set of compounds in such a library, other properties (steric bulkiness, lipophilicity, etc.) are similar. Therefore, the libraries could be used to study the structure-property relationships. However, there are not many examples of the libraries of isomeric and especially homologic amino acids in the literature.^{[4](#page-6-0)}

Herein we report the design and synthesis of a library, which consists of three amino acids—bicyclic proline analogues. Proline is often found in those secondary structure elements of peptides which are responsible for their biological action.^{[2,5](#page-6-0)} One of the compound in the library is well-known 2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane-1 carboxylic acid (trivial name 2,4-methanoproline) 1, which was found in *Atheleia herbert smithii* in 1980.^{[6](#page-6-0)} Several syntheses of this compound have been reported since then.^{[7,8](#page-6-0)} Two other amino acids, the methanoproline homologues 2 and 3, are novel.

Analysis of molecular models for the N -acetyl N' -methylamide derivatives of compounds 1–3 showed that torsion angle φ at the residues of these amino acids in peptides should change in consequential manner when going from 1 to 3. This difference is achieved by minimal structural changes within the set, allowing one to assume that the observed regularities in the properties of the peptides are caused at first approximation by changes of φ .

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +38 044 239 33 15; fax: +38 044 235 12 73; e-mail: ik214@yahoo.com

^{0957-4166/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetasy.2005.12.009

2. Results and discussion

In principle, the synthesis of novel amino acids 2 and 3 could be performed using a strategy reported by de Kimpe for 2,4-methanoproline 1. [8](#page-6-0) The key step in the synthesis of 1 is tandem cyanide addition—intramolecular cyclization of the imine 4, which was prepared from 3-chloromethylcyclobutanone 5. Compound 6 was then converted to 1 by the hydrolysis of the nitrile group and subsequent Pd-catalysed hydrogenolysis (Scheme 1).

The corresponding chloromethylcycloalkanones 7 and 8, which can be used as the starting compounds for the synthesis of 2 and 3 using de Kimpe's strategy, are known compounds. They can be obtained from 2-cycloalken-1-ones in two steps in 45–50% overall yield (Scheme 2).^{[9](#page-6-0)}

However, it was necessary to modify the reported strategy for at least two reasons. First, while the 2,4-methanoproline is achiral, the novel amino acids here contain two stereogenic centers. A stereoselective synthesis has to be developed in order to obtain nonracemic compounds 2 and 3. Another problem is related to the difficulties in imine formation from 7 and 8, and following cyclization under treatment of the acetone cyanohydrine. In our hands, the reported procedures led to complex mixtures of unidentified compounds, containing only minor amounts of the desired aminonitriles.

The stereoselectivity problem could be solved, if nonracemic chloromethylcycloalkanones 7 and 8 were used. These could be prepared from the corresponding nonracemic cyclopropane derivatives 9 and 10, the synthesis of which has already been reported.[10](#page-6-0) However, the synthesis involves the use of a rather expensive chiral auxiliary—1,4-bis(benzyloxy)butane-2,3-diol (1,4-di-Obenzylthreitol), therefore we abandoned this approach. Our strategy was based on the separation of stereoisomers rather than on chiral induction. The use of racemic 7 or 8 and enantiomerically pure (e.g., S) α -phenylethylamine in reactions analogous to those shown in Scheme 1 should yield equimolar mixtures of diastereomers 11a and 11b (or 12a and 12b, respectively), which could hopefully be separated.

The second problem can be solved by avoiding imine isolation during the synthesis of 11 and 12. We achieved this using a tandem Strecker-intramolecular cyclization reaction. Only a few papers on the synthesis of α -aminonitriles directly from γ -chloromethylketones using this strategy have been published, all of them exploiting achiral α -aminonitrile 13 as a cyanide source (Scheme $3)$ ^{[11](#page-6-0)}

An analogous reaction of 7 or 8 with chiral α -aminonitrile 14 (instead of 13) leads to the desirable nitriles 11a, 11b or 12a, 12b. Surprisingly, compound 14 was not described in the literature, but was found to be easily formed from α -phenylethylamine and acetone cyanohydrine in quantitative yield (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4.

Scheme 1.

The reaction of 14 with 3-chloromethylcyclohexanone 8 in methanol proceeded uneventfully; compounds 12a and 12b were formed in 80% total yield. Column chromatography on silica gel turned out to be very efficient for their separation. It has been found that the preliminary isolation of 14 is not necessary: the reaction was equally efficient when chloromethylketone $\mathbf{8}$, α -phenylethylamine and acetone cyanohydrin were mixed simultaneously in methanol (Scheme 5).

Analogous reaction proceeded smoothly with 3-chloromethylcyclobutanone 5 (achiral benzylamine was taken in this case, Scheme 6). This approach to 2,4-methanoproline precursor 6 is simpler than reported earlier.[8](#page-6-0)

When we applied the reaction conditions described above to 3-chloromethylcyclopentanone 7, which has a less reactive carbonyl group when compared to compounds 5 and 8, none of the expected products were obtained. Optimization of the reaction conditions was performed, and it was found that a mixture of 11a, 11b, and 15 was formed in acetonitrile as the solvent in 38% overall yield (Scheme 7). Chromatographic separation of the isomers was analogous to that for 12. The mechanism of formation of 15 is currently under investigation.

All three isomers 11a, 11b, and 15 were indistinguishable by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. Structural assignments were performed using ¹³C DEPT-135 experiments. There are three carbon atoms connected to nitrogen in each isomer; secondary, tertiary, and quaternary in the case of $11a(11b)$ or tertiary, tertiary, and quaternary in the case of 15. Therefore, the DEPT-135 spectra were different and characteristic for 11a, 11b, and 15 in the region of 55–65 ppm [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)).

The structure of 15 was also confirmed by its transformation to the known 7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-1-car-boxylic acid 16 [\(Scheme 8\)](#page-3-0).^{[12](#page-6-0)}

To determine the absolute configuration of compounds 11a, 11b and 12a, 12b, X-ray analysis of aminonitriles $(1S, 4R)$ -11b and $(1S, 5R)$ -12a was performed ([Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). The absolute configuration of these compounds was established using the known (S) -configuration of the stereogenic center of the a-phenylethylamine moiety. The asymmetric part of the crystal unit cell contains one molecule in the case of 11b and two molecules (A and B) for 12a. The N(2)–C(9) bond (1.496(2) \AA 11b, 1.496(3) \AA , and 1.492(3) \AA for molecules A and B of 12a) is slightly longer compared to average value^{[13](#page-6-0)} 1.475 Å. The $N(2)$ atom has pyramidal configuration, the sum of bond angles centered at this atom is 334.7(4)^o for **11b** and 339.5(6)^o (A) and 338.7(6)^o (B) for 12a. The α -phenylethyl substituent possesses *endo* orientation relative to the polycyclic fragment (the C(10)–C(9)–N(2)–C(7) torsion angle is $-133.0(2)^\circ$ for **11b**, $-140.5(2)°$ (A) and $-141.6(2)°$ (B) for **12a**). Molecules 11b and 12a differ by orientation of the α -phenylethylamine substituent with respect to the nitrile group. The $C(8)$ methyl group adopts *ap* conformation with respect to the $N(2)$ –C(9) bond in 11b and –sc conformation in 12a (the $C(9)$ –N(2)–C(7)–C(8) torsion angle is $175.3(2)$ ° for **11b**, $-54.9(2)$ ° (A) and $-57.3(2)$ ° (B) for 12a). The phenyl subtituent has almost orthogonal orientation relative to the $C(7)$ – $C(8)$ bond in all molecules (the C(8)–C(7)–C(1)–C(2) torsion angle is $93.2(2)^\circ$ in **11b**, $-111.6(3)°$ (A) and $-109.9(3)°$ (B) in **12a**).

Hydrolysis of the cyano group in compounds 11 and 12 was accompanied by partial N-deprotection. This does not pose a problem since after hydrogenation and

Scheme 6.

Figure 1.

recrystallization, pure N-deprotected amino acids 2 and 3 were obtained as hydrochlorides in moderate yields (35–50% over two steps) (Scheme 9).

Scheme 9.

3. Conclusions

A library, consisting of three amino acids—bicyclic proline analogues (compounds 1–3) has been designed. A simple and convenient method for the synthesis of 2,4 methanoproline homologues as pure enantiomers was developed. A convenient synthetic route to 2,4-methanoproline has been proposed.

4. Experimental

All air- and moisture-sensitive reactions were performed under an argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk technique. Solvents were purified according to stan-

dard procedures.^{[14](#page-6-0)} 3-Chloromethylcyclobutanone 5 ,^{[15](#page-6-0)} 3-chloromethylcyclopentanone 7, [9](#page-6-0) and 3-chloromethylcyclohexanone 8[9](#page-6-0) were prepared according to the procedures described in the literature. All other starting materials were purchased from Acros, Merck, Aldrich, and Fluka chemicals. Melting points are uncorrected. Analytical TLC was performed using Polychrom SI F254 plates. Column chromatography was performed using Kieselgel Merck 60 (230–400 mesh) stationary phase. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Unity Plus 400 spectrometer at 400.4 and 100.7 MHz, respectively. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm downfield from TMS as an internal standard. IR spectra were obtained on Nicolet Nexus 470 spectrometer. v_{max} (cm⁻¹) values in IR spectra are given for the main absorption bands. Mass spectra were recorded on Agilent 1100 LCMSD SL instrument with chemical ionization (CI). Optical rotation values were measured on Perkin–Elmer 341 polarimeter.

4.1. General procedure for the cyclopropanation (compounds $\overline{9}$ and 10)

The procedure is a modification of the method reported by Gassman and Atkins.[16](#page-6-0) The synthesis of bicyclo- [4.1.0]heptan-2-one 10 is representative.

All operations were performed under a constant argon flow through the reaction flask. To a flame-dried three-necked flack, equipped with an efficient stirrer, inert gas inlet, thermometer, and dropping funnel, 250 ml of dry dimethylsulfoxide were placed. Vigorous stirring was started and 9.0 g (0.356 mol) of sodium hydride (95%) carefully added in small portions (less than 1 g) in such a manner that each next portion was only added after gas evolution from the previous addition ceased. The temperature of the reaction mixture had to be kept within the range of $20-35$ °C

Figure 2. Molecular structure of compounds 11b and 12a.

by external cooling. After the addition of NaH, 78.4 g (0.356 mol) of trimethylsulfoxonium iodide^{[17](#page-6-0)} was added, also in small portions. Temperature control $(20-35 \text{ °C})$ is particularly critical at this stage. The white milky suspension obtained was stirred for an additional 30–45 min to complete the ylide formation. The reaction mixture was cooled to 20 \degree C, and a solution of 30 ml (0.324 mol) of 2-cyclohexen-1-one in 50 ml of dimethylsulfoxide was slowly added dropwise under vigorous stirring. When all the cycloalkenone was added, the reaction mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at an ambient temperature and for an additional 2 h at 50 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$, then cooled and poured onto 300 g of ice. The suspension formed was filtered, and the filtrate thoroughly extracted with ether. The combined extracts were dried (MgSO4) and evaporated at a reduced pressure (temperature of the bath was kept not higher than 30° C) to give 25.2 g $(0.229 \text{ mol}, 70\%)$ of bicyclo^[4.1.0]heptan-2-one 10, sufficiently pure for the next step. Further purification can be performed as described elsewhere.^{[16](#page-6-0)}

4.2. General procedure for the synthesis of *a*-aminonitriles 11, 12, and 15

Synthesis of $6-[1S]-1$ -phenylethyl $]-6$ -azabicyclo $[3.2.1]$ octane-5-carbonitriles 12a and 12b is representative.

Method A: To a solution of 25.6 g (0.174 mol) of 3-chloromethylcyclohexanone 8 in 150 ml of dry methanol 47.7 ml (0.522 mol) of acetone cyanohydrin and 23.6 ml (0.183 mol) of (S) - α -phenylethylamine were added. The mixture obtained was refluxed under an argon atmosphere for 30 h, then poured into 500 ml of 10% sodium hydroxide solution and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined extracts were dried over MgSO4 and evaporated under a reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed (gradient hexane– ethylacetate, 5:1–2:1 as an eluent) to give 16.6 g $(0.069 \text{ mol}, 40\%)$ of 12b first, and then 16.9 g (0.070 m) mol, 40%) of 12a.

Method B: To a solution of 0.39 g of 3-chloromethylcyclohexanone 8 in 10 ml of dry acetonitrile, 0.53 g of aminonitrile 14 (see the synthesis below) was added. The mixture obtained was refluxed under an argon atmosphere for 30 h and then worked up as described above.

4.2.1. 2-Benzyl-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane-1-carbonitrile 6. 2-Benzyl-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane-1-carbonitrile was prepared by method A [using benzylamine instead of (S)- α -phenylethylamine] in 70% yield. The reaction time was increased to 72 h. There was no need in chromatographic purification of crude product (more than 90% of the main compound). For spectral and physical data see Ref. [8](#page-6-0).

4.2.2. (1R,4S)-2-((1S)-1-Phenylethyl)-2-azabicyclo[2.2.1] heptane-1-carbonitrile 11a. $(1R,4S)$ -2- $((1S)$ -1-Phenylethyl)-2-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-1-carbonitrile was prepared by method B from 7 in 8% yield. $[\alpha]_D = -5.1$ $(c \ 0.66, \ MeOH)$. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.33 (m, 3H), 3.75 (q, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 1H, CH(CH₃)), 3.30 (dt, $J = 9.0$ Hz and 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (m, 1H), 2.40 (s, 1H), 2.33 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.14 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 1H), 1.6–1.8 (m, 3H), 1.49 (m, 1H), 1.43 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 143.2, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 60.1, 58.9, 57.5, 45.5, 37.2, 30.3, 29.9, 22.6. IR (KBr): 2236 (v C \equiv N). MS (*m*/z): 227 (M+1), 123, 105 $(PhCH(CH₃)).$

4.2.3. (1S,4R)-2-((1S)-1-Phenylethyl)-2-azabicyclo[2.2.1] heptane-1-carbonitrile 11b. $(1S, 4R)$ -2- $((1S)$ -1-Phenylethyl)-2-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-1-carbonitrile was prepared from 7 by method B in 8% yield. Mp 65– 66[°]C. [α]_D = -43.3 (*c* 0.60, MeOH). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 7.3 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 3.69 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H, CH(CH₃)), 2.93 (dt, $J = 9.5$ and 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (m, 1H), 2.3 (s, 1H), 2.27 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 1H), 1.8–1.9 (m, 4H), 1.54 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH(CH₃)), 1.27 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃), δ: 145.2, 128.4, 127.1, 127.0, 122.3, 62.7 (CH(CH₃)), 60.1 (3-CH₂), 58.1 (1-C), 40.6 (CH₂), 37.3 (4-CH), 29.3 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 24.2 (CH₃). IR (KBr): 2240 (v C \equiv N). MS (*m*/z): 227 (M+1), 123, 105 (PhCH(CH₃)). Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{18}N_2$: C, 79.61; H, 8.02; N, 12.38. Found C, 79.72; H, 8.06; N, 12.42.

4.2.4. 7-((1S)-1-Phenylethyl)-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-1-carbonitrile 15. 7-((1S)-1-Phenylethyl)-7-azabicyclo- [2.2.1]heptane-1-carbonitrile was prepared by method B from 7 in 24% yield. Mp 64–65 °C. $[\alpha]_D = -37.6$ (c 0.84, MeOH). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 7.41 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H, $o\text{-}C_6H_5$), 7.33 (m, 3H, C_6H_5), 3.55 (q, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 1H, CH(CH₃)), 3.14 (t, $J = 4.0$ Hz, 1H, 4-CH), 2.20 (m, 2H), 1.84 (m, 4H), 1.50 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)), 1.36 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 145.25, 128.4, 127.5, 127.2, 120.5, 59.5 (CH), 57.1 (C-4), 56.6 (CH), 35.3 (CH₂), 35.2 (CH₂), 28.0 (CH₂), 28.0 (CH₂), 23.9 (CH(CH₃)). IR (KBr): 2230 (v C=N). MS (m/z) : 227 (M+1), 123, 105 (PhCH(CH₃)). Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{18}N_2$: C, 79.61; H, 8.02; N, 12.38. Found C, 79.68; H, 7.97; N, 12.34.

4.2.5. (1S,5R)-6-((1S)-1-Phenylethyl)-6-azabicyclo[3.2.1] octane-5-carbonitrile 12a. $(1S, 5R)$ -6- $((1S)$ -1-Phenylethyl)-6-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-5-carbonitrile was prepared by both A and B methods. Mp $176-178$ °C. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = -18.6$ (c 0.16, MeOH). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 7.44 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, o -C₆H₅), 7.33 (m, 3H, C₆H₅), 4.12 (q, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 1H, CH(CH₃)), 3.34 (dd, $J = 9.2$ and 6.2 Hz, 1H, $exo-7-CH$, 2.79 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 1H, endo-7-CH), 2.39 (s, 1H), 2.33 (d, $J = 11$ Hz, 1H, 8-CH), 2.24 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 1H), 1.81 (d, $J = 11$ Hz, 1H, 8-CH), 1.70 (m, 3H), 1.5–1.6 (m, 2H), 1.50 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 143.4, 128.4, 128.3, 127.8, 121.4, 57.9, 57.6, 53.6, 45.4, 33.4, 33.0, 30.0, 22.6, 19.6. IR (KBr): 2232 (v C=N). MS (m/z) : 241 (M+1), 137, 105 (PhCH(CH₃)). Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}N_2$: C, 79.96; H, 8.39; N, 11.66. Found C, 79.81; H, 8.48; N, 11.59.

4.2.6. (1R,5S)-6-((1S)-1-Phenylethyl)-6-azabicyclo[3.2.1] octane-5-carbonitrile $12b.$ $(1R,5S)$ -6- $(1S)$ -1-Phenylethyl)-6-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-5-carbonitrile was prepared by both A and B methods. $[\alpha]_D = -26.2$ (c 0.54, MeOH). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 7.38 (d,

 $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, o -C₆H₅), 7.31 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, m -C₆H₅), 7.23 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H, $p\text{-}C_6H_5$), 4.13 (q, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 1H, CH(CH₃)), 3.05 (dd, $J = 9.2$ and 5.2 Hz, 1H, exo-7-CH), 2.42 (m, 1H, 8-CH), 2.36 (dd, $J = 13$ and 5.2 Hz, 2-CH), 2.28 (br s, 1H, 1-CH), 2.24 (d, $J = 10.0$ Hz, endo-7-CH), 1.90 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 8-CH), 1.82 Hz (td, $J = 12.6$ and 6.0 Hz, 1H, 2-CH), 1.70 (m, 1H, 3-CH), 1.61 (m, 1H, 3-CH), 1.61 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)), 1.49 (m, 2H, 4-CH₂). ¹³C NMR $(CDCl_3)$, δ : 145.7, 128.4, 127.1, 127.0, 123.9 (CN), 59.9 $(CH(CH_3)$, 56.9 (5-C), 56.7 (2-CH₂), 45.9 (CH₂), 33.3 $(1-CH)$, 32.9 (CH_2) , 30.1 (CH_2) , 24.1 $(CH(CH_3)$, 19.2 (CH₂). IR (KBr): 2240 (v C \equiv N). MS (m/z): 241 $(M+1)$, 137.

4.2.7. 2-Methyl-2-(((1S)-1-phenylethyl)amino)propanenitrile 14. $2-Methyl-2-(((1S)-1-phenylethyl)amino) pro$ panenitrile 14.5 ml (0.159 mol) of acetone cyanohydrine and 20 ml (0.155 mol) of (S)- α -phenylethylamine were mixed in 50 ml of absolute methanol and allowed to stand overnight. Then the mixture was evaporated to dryness to give 29.1 g $(0.155 \text{ mol}, 100\%)$ of pure 14. An analytical sample of 14 was obtained by recrystallization from hexane. Mp 77–78 °C. $[\alpha]_D = -146.3$ $(c \quad 0.64, \quad \text{MeOH})$. ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3)$, δ : 7.41 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H, $o\text{-}C_6H_5$, 7.32 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, m-C₆H₅), 7.23 (t, J = 7.2 Hz), 4.11 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H, CHCH₃), 1.53 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.41 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H, CHCH₃), 1.12 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 146.6 (CN), 128.5, 127.0, 126.5, 125.8, 55.6, 52.2, 29.2, 27.8, 26.3. IR (KBr): 3323 (v N–H), 2223 (v C \equiv N). Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{16}N_2$: C, 76.56; H, 8.57; N, 14.88. Found C, 76.48; H, 8.61; N, 14.84.

4.3. General procedure for the synthesis of amino acids 2, 3, and 16

The synthesis of (1R,5S)-6-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-5 carboxylic acid 3b hydrochloride is representative. To 16.6 g (0.069 mol) of compound $12b$, 60 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid was added. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 72 h, then cooled, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. Water (20 ml) was added and subsequently removed by evaporation under reduced pressure. This operation was repeated twice to remove the excess of HCl. To the obtained solid residue, 70 ml of absolute ethanol were added, the resulting mixture was refluxed, then cooled and left in an ice bath for 3 h. Ammonium hydrochloride was filtered off, the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The solid obtained was dissolved in 80 ml of methanol and hydrogenated (10% Pd/C, 35 atm, 35 °C) for 36 h. The catalyst was filtered off, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was recrystallized from 10 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid to obtain 4.52 g of pure 3b. The additional 1.84 g of pure 3b were obtained from the mother liquor by further crystallization. Total yield—6.36 g (0.033 moļ, 48%). Mp > 250 °C. [α] $_D =$ -19.9 (c 1.30, MeOH). ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6), δ : 10.20 (br s, 1H, NH), 9.24 (br s, 1H, NH), 3.32 (br s, 1H, 3-CH), 3.14 (br s, 1H, 3-CH), 2.56 (s, 1H, 4-CH), 1.97 (m, 2H), 1.85 (m, 3H), 1.65 (m, 1H), 1.56 (m, 1H), 1.50 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆), δ : 171.8 (COOH),

69.1 (5-C), 48.9 (7-CH2), 39.2(1-CH), 34.3, 31.6, 28.8, 17.8 (3-CH₂). IR (KBr): 1731 ($v \text{ C=O}$). Anal. Calcd for $C_8H_{14}CINO_2$: C, 50.14; H, 7.36; N, 7.31. Found C, 50.09; H, 7.30; N, 7.35.

4.3.1. (1S,5R)-6-Azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-5-carboxylic acid hydrochloride 3a. (1S,5R)-6-Azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-5-carboxylic acid hydrochloride was prepared analogously to 3b from 12a in 38% yield. Mp > 250 °C. $[\alpha]_D = +17.5$ (c 0.95, MeOH). NMR and IR spectra were identical to that for 3b enantiomer. Anal. Calcd for $C_8H_{14}CINO_2$: C, 50.14; H, 7.36; N, 7.31. Found C, 50.19; H, 7.41; N, 7.29.

4.3.2. (1R,4S)-2-Azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-1-carboxylic acid 2a. $(1R, 4S)$ -2-Azabicyclo^[2.2.1]heptane-1-carboxylic acid was prepared analogously to 3b from 11a, except the purification was performed using ionexchange chromatography (strong cationite, 10% aqueous pyridine as an eluent), in 33% yield. Mp $>$ 230 °C (dec.). $[\alpha]_D = +10.5$ (c 0.28, MeOH). ¹H NMR (CD_3OD) , δ : 3.22 (d, $J = 10.0$ Hz, 1H, 3-CH), 3.01 (d, $J = 9.5$ Hz, 1H, 3-CH), 2.69 (s, 1H, 4-CH), 2.19 (m, 1H), $1.9-2.0$ (m, $4H$), 1.63 (m, $1H$). ¹³C NMR (CD_3OD) , δ : 171.2 (COOH), 71.9 (1-C), 49.1 (3-CH₂), 38.5, 35.9, 28.7, 25.9. IR (KBr): 1640 (v_{as} COO⁻), 1600 (δ NH₂⁺), 1409 (v_s COO⁻). Anal. Calcd for $C_7H_{11}NO_2$: C, 59.56; H, 7.85; N, 9.92. Found C, 59.50; H, 7.79; N, 9.90.

4.3.3. (1S,4R)-2-Azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-1-carboxylic acid 2b. $(1S, 4R)$ -2-Azabicyclo^[2.2.1]heptane-1-carboxylic acid was prepared analogously to 2a from 11b in 35% yield. Mp > 230 °C (dec.). $[\alpha]_D = -13.7$ (c 0.26, MeOH). NMR and IR spectra were identical to that for $2a$ enantiomer. Anal. Calcd for $C_7H_{11}NO_2$: C, 59.56; H, 7.85; N, 9.92. Found C, 59.51; H, 7.89, N, 9.88.

4.3.4. 7-Azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-1-carboxylic acid 16. 7-Azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-1-carboxylic acid was prepared analogously to 2a from 15 in 39% yield. For spectral and physical data see Ref. [12](#page-6-0).

4.4. X-ray analysis

Crystals for X-ray diffraction studies were obtained by slow evaporation of hexane–ethyl acetate (4:1) solution of 11b and heptane solution of 12a, respectively. The crystals of 11b $(C_{15}H_{18}N_2)$ are orthorhombic. At 100 K $a = 6.3333(3)$, $b = 10.7795(8)$, $c =$ 18.511(1) Å, $V = 1263.8(1)$ Å³, $M_r = 226.31$, $Z = 4$, space group $P2_12_12_1$, $d_{\text{calcd}} = 1.189 \text{ g/cm}^3$, μ (Mo K_a) = $(0.071 \text{ mm}^{-1}, F(0.00) = 488$. Intensity of 16,391 reflections (2095 independent, $R_{int} = 0.088$) were measured on an automatic «Xcalibur 3» diffractometer (graphite monochromated Mo K_{α} radiation, CCD-detector $\Theta/2\Theta$ scanning, $2\Theta_{\text{max}} = 60^{\circ}$).

The crystals of 12a $(C_{16}H_{20}N_2)$ are monoclinic. At 293 K, $a = 8.4708(5)$, $b = 14.8404(6)$, $c = 11.2447(5)$ Å, $\beta = 98.950(4)$ °, $V = 1396.36(12)$ \mathring{A}^3 , $M_r = 240.34$, $Z =$ 4, space group $P2_1$, $d_{\text{calcd}} = 1.143 \text{ g/cm}^3$, μ (Mo K_{α}) =

 0.068 mm⁻¹, $F(000) = 520$. Intensity of 7128 reflections (4607 independent, R_{int} =0.024) were measured on an automatic «Xcalibur 3» diffractometer (graphite monochromated Mo K_{α} radiation, CCD-detector $\Theta/2\Theta$ scanning, $2\Theta_{\text{max}} = 50^{\circ}$).

Both structures were solved by direct method using SHELX97 package.¹⁸ Positions of hydrogen atoms were located from electron density difference maps and refined by 'riding' model with $U_{\text{iso}} = nU_{\text{eq}}$ of nonhydrogen atom is bonded with given hydrogen atom ($n = 1.5$) for methyl group and $n = 1.2$ for other hydrogen atoms). Full-matrix least-squares refinement against $F²$ in anisotropic approximation was converged to $wR_2 = 0.116$ for 2095 reflections ($R_1 = 0.049$ for 2011 reflections with $I > 4\sigma(I)$, $S = 1.05$ for 11b and to $wR_2 = 0.120$ for 4607 reflections ($R_1 = 0.046$ for 3788 reflections with $I > 4\sigma(I)$, $S = 1.08$) for 12a. Final atomic coordinates, geometrical parameters and crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: +44 1223 336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk) and are available on request by quoting the deposition number CCDC 289018 (11b) and CCDC 289081 (12a).

Acknowledgements

Financial support of Medivir is gratefully acknowledged. The authors are also thankful to Dr. Marcel Linschoten, for encouragement and helpful discussion.

References

- 1. Silverman, R. B. The Organic Chemistry of Drug Design and Drug Action; Elsevier: Oxford, 2004; pp 1–617.
- 2. Komarov, I. V.; Grygorenko, O. O.; Turov, A. V.; Khilya, V. P. Russ. Chem. Rev. 2004, 73, 785–810.
- 3. Gante, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 1699– 1720.
- 4. (a) Pelliciari, R.; Marinozzi, M.; Camaioni, E.; Nùnez, M. del C.; Costantino, G.; Gasparini, F.; Giorgi, G.; Macchiarulo, A.; Subramanian, N. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5497– 5507; (b) Cativiela, C.; Díaz-de-Villegaz, M. D.; Mayoral, J. A. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 677–684.
- 5. (a) Gunasekaran, K.; Ramakrishnan, C.; Balaram, P. Protein Eng. 1997, 10, 1131–1141; (b) Choi, S. H.; Yu, Y. J.; Shin, K. I.; Jhon, M. S. J. Mol. Struct. 1994, 323, 233– 242.
- 6. Bell, E. A.; Qureshi, M. Y.; Pryce, R. J.; Janzen, D. H.; Lemke, P.; Clardy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1409– 1412.
- 7. Hughes, P.; Clardy, G. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 4793–4796.
- 8. Rammeloo, T.; Stevens, C. V.; de Kimpe, N. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 6509–6513.
- 9. di Beelo, N.; Pellacani, L.; Tardella, P. A. Synthesis 1978, 227–228.
- 10. (a) Mash, E. A.; Nelson, K. A. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 679– 692; (b) Johnson, C. R.; Barbachyn, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4290-4291.
- 11. (a) Oka, M.; Matsumoto, Y.; Unno, R. Heterocycles 1997, 45, 1447–1450; (b) Oka, M.; Baba, K.; Nakamura, K.; Dong, L.; Hamajima, H.; Unno, R.; Matsumoto, Y. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2003, 40, 177–180.
- 12. Avenoza, A.; Cativiela, C.; Busto, J. H.; Fernández-Recio, M. A.; Peregrina, J. M.; Rodríguez, F. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 545–548.
- 13. Bürgi, H.-B.; Dunitz, J. D. In Structure Correlation; VCH: Weinheim, 1994; Vol. 2, pp 741–784.
- 14. Armarego, W. L. F.; Chai, C. L. L. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals; Elsevier: Oxford, 2003; pp 1–609.
- 15. Stevens, C.; de Kimpe, N. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 2174– 2178.
- 16. Gassman, P. G.; Atkins, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 7748–7756.
- 17. Corey, E. J.; Chaykowsky, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 1353–1364.
- 18. Sheldrick, G. M. SHELX97. Programs for Crystal Structure Analysis (Release 97-2). Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Göttingen, Tammanstrasse 4, D-3400 Göttingen, Germany; 1998.